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SUMMARY

The oomycete *Aphanomyces astaci*, the causative agent of crayfish plague, is listed as one of the 100 worst invasive species in the world, destroying the native crayfish populations throughout Eurasia. The aim of this study was to examine the potential of selected mitochondrial (mt) genes to track the diversity of the crayfish plague pathogen *A. astaci*. Two sets of primers were developed to amplify the mtDNA of ribosomal rns and rnl subunits. We confirmed two main lineages, with four different haplogroups and five haplotypes among 27 studied *A. astaci* strains. The haplogroups detected were 1) the A-haplogroup with the a-haplotype strains originating from *Orconectes* sp., *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, and *Astacus astacus* 2) the B-haplogroup with the b-haplotype strains originating from the *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, 3) the D-haplogroup with the d1 and d2-haplotypes strains originating from *Procambarus clarkii*, and 4) the E-haplogroup with the e-haplotype strains originating from the *Orconectes limosus*. The described markers are stable and reliable and the results are easily repeatable in different laboratories. The present method has high applicability as it allows the detection and characterization of the *A. astaci* haplotype in acute disease outbreaks in the wild, directly from the infected crayfish tissue samples.
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INTRODUCTION

The crayfish plague, caused by *Aphanomyces astaci* (Schikora), is the most devastating crayfish disease known to date (Cerenius *et al.* 2009; Jussila *et al.* 2015). *Aphanomyces astaci* is listed among the 100 worst invasive species in the world by the Global Invasive Species Specialist Group of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN; Lowe *et al.* 2004), being the main reason for the reducing numbers of the native crayfish throughout Europe (Souty-Grosset *et al.* 2006). All the five European crayfish species, *i.e.*, noble crayfish (*Astacus astacus*), stone crayfish (*Austropotamobius torrentium*), white-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*), narrow-clawed crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus*), and thick-clawed crayfish (*Astacus pachypus*), are susceptible to the disease, with catastrophic epidemics possible, and the three first mentioned species are listed in the IUCN Red list as vulnerable, with a decreasing population trend (IUCN, 2012).

During the past decades, phylogenetic studies on different *A. astaci* strains have been done to clarify the relationships within this species and the North American hosts that carry them. The Random amplification of polymorphic DNA–polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) techniques firstly revealed certain genetic diversity in *A. astaci* (Huang *et al.* 1994; Diéguez-Uribeondo *et al.* 1995; Rezinciuc *et al.* 2014). Thus, five genetic groups of *A. astaci*, named A, B, C, D, and E, have been identified in Europe by using RAPD-PCR (Huang *et al.* 1994; Diéguez-Uribeondo *et al.* 1995; Kozubíková *et al.* 2011). The RAPD-PCR group A (As) includes the strain of reference L1, which was isolated from native European crayfish *A. astacus*, and also a number of strains that seem to be related to the first invasion of *A. astaci* with an unknown host species in the 19th century. The RAPD-PCR group B (PsI) includes the strain of reference Pl, which was isolated from the North American crayfish species, *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, as well as other strains isolated from outbreaks in native European species and other *P. leniusculus* crayfish. The RAPD-PCR group C (PsII) is comprised of a single strain named Kv, isolated from an outbreak on signal crayfish in Kvarntorp (Sweden) that originated from Lake Pitt (Canada) (Huang *et al.* 1994). This group has not been detected since then (Söderhäll and Cerenius, 1999). The RAPD-PCR group D (Pc) includes the reference strain Pc and was first isolated from the red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) in Spain (Diéguez-Uribeondo and Söderhäll, 1993; Diéguez-Uribeondo *et al.* 1995) and a number of strains, *e.g.*, APO3 and Málaga5, isolated from outbreaks in native crayfish *A. pallipes* (Rezinciuc *et al.* 2014). Finally, the RAPD-PCR group E (Or) comprises a reference strain Evira4805 isolated from the spiny-cheek crayfish (*Orconectes limosus*) naturalized in Europe.
(Kozubíková et al. 2011) and a few strains isolated from outbreaks in native crayfish *A. astacus*, e.g. Li10, after that (Kozubíková-Balcarová et al. 2013).

Later, studies based on Internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-regions indicated that *A. astaci* strains were genetically very similar since their intraspecific variation measured is close to zero (Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. 2009; Makkonen et al. 2011). It was postulated that this was a result of the clonal propagation via zoospores (Huang et al. 1994; Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. 2009; Rezinciuc et al. 2015). Additional studies exploring the *A. astaci* diversity in Europe were conducted on nuclear chitinase gene (Makkonen et al. 2012a), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP; Rezinciuc et al. 2014), and nuclear single sequence repeat microsatellite markers (Grandjean et al. 2014). Phylogenetic analyses using chitinase gene analyses (Makkonen et al. 2012a) and AFLP-PCR (Rezinciuc et al. 2014) indicate that all the strains of *A. astaci* split into two lineages: (i) one that comprises strains from RAPD-PCR groups A, B, C, and E, and (ii) a second one that comprises strains of RAPD-PCR group D. Furthermore, the chitinase gene sequencing and microsatellite markers have also been applied as a diagnostic tool to characterize the pathogen strains causing crayfish plague outbreaks (e.g., Panteleit et al. 2017). However, the application of the chitinase gene as a marker has been found limited due to its incapability to separate the RAPD-PCR groups B and E (Makkonen et al. 2012a). For the microsatellites, the interpretation of the results is often hard due to the lack of possibility to confirm the amplification specificity and possible mixed infections from other Oomycetes species often present in crayfish (Kozubíková-Balcarová et al. 2013). Both methods also fail in cases when the pathogen quantities in samples are low, i.e., the quantitative PCR (qPCR) shows agent levels of mid-A3 or lower (Vrålstad et al. 2009). Therefore, only a limited number of crayfish plague outbreak cases detected with qPCR can be further characterized with these applications.

The diversity, distribution, and prevalence of *A. astaci* in its original distribution area in North America are still largely unknown. When novel species or crayfish from North America were introduced to Europe, likely also *A. astaci* has been repeatedly introduced with these animals (Makkonen et al. 2012a; Jussila et al. 2015; Rezinciuc et al. 2015). Nowadays, this threat should be minimized due to the EU regulation 1143/2014 on invasive alien species. The origin and geographic migration of a broad variety of organisms, including oomycetes, have been tracked by using mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Martin et al. 2007, 2008; Yoshida et al. 2013). When working with clonally reproducing organisms such as *A. astaci*, the mitochondrial (mt) genome provides a valuable marker for population studies (Makkonen et al. 2016). Thus, the
aim of this study was to examine the potential applicability of mtDNA as a tool to track the origin and diversity of the crayfish plague pathogen *A. astaci* and provide a basis for developing an efficient tool to further characterize the disease outbreaks and their origins. The *A. astaci* diversity in Europe, as well as in its original distribution in North America, are currently rather poorly known, but hopefully intensively studied also in the future. As critical differences in the pathogen strains’ virulence properties in Europe have also been observed (e.g., Diéguez-Uribeondo *et al.* 1995; Makkonen *et al.* 2012b, 2014), the characterization of the strains causing the epidemics in the wild must be considered as a task with a high importance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and species tested**

A total of 27 *A. astaci* strains from the culture collections of the University of Eastern Finland (Finland), Evira (Finland), Charles University of Prague (Czech Republic), and Real Jardín Botánico-CSIC (Spain) were sequenced in this study. The strains were representing the five currently recognized RAPD-groups in Europe (Table 1). In addition, two Saprolegniales species, *Aphanomyces frigidophilus* and *Saprolegnia* sp., were sequenced as reference (Table 1).

**Primer design**

The annotated mt genome (KX405004) of *A. astaci* was used as a reference sequence for the primer design (Makkonen *et al.* 2016) and the regions containing group specific differences were selected based on alignments with *A. astaci* transcriptomic data produced at the University of Eastern Finland (Kokko *et al.* unpublished). The alignments and manual editions of the sequences were conducted in Geneious 8.0 (Kearse *et al.* 2012). Primers were designed with the Primer3 program (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000). Two primer pairs amplifying the mitochondrial ribosomal rrnS (AphSSUF and AphSSUR) and rrnL (AphLSUF and AphLSUR) genes were designed (Table 2).

The species specificity of the target region was checked with sequence alignments to oomycetes that were either available in GenBank or sequenced in this study (Fig. 1ab). The species from GenBank were *Aphanomyces invadans* (KX405005), *Saprolegnia ferax* (AY534144), *Pythium insidiosum* (AP014838), *Phytophthora infestans* (AY898627), *P. ipomoeae* (HM590420), *P. mirabilis* (HM590421), *P. phaseoli* (HM590418), *P. polonica*
PCR

The PCR reactions were carried out in 25 µL reaction volume containing 1 U of DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2X DreamTaq Green master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 10 mM of primers, and 10-100 ng of template DNA. The reaction volume was filled with PCR-grade water. The amplification was conducted on a PTC-200 thermal cycler (MJ Research) with the following conditions: 95 °C, 3 min, 35x (95 °C, 30 s; 59 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 30 s), and 72 °C 10 min. Each run contained a positive control (A. astaci DNA of strain UEF8866-2) and a blank reaction without a template. The amplification was checked on a 1.5% agarose gel containing 0.5 µM EtBr. Then, the samples were purified with GeneJET PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Sequencing, phylogenetics, and analysis on the genetic diversity

The Sanger sequencing reactions were performed in GATC Biotech (Germany) with the primers AphSSUF and AphLSUF, respectively (Table 2). Approximately half of the amplicons were confirmed by additional sequencing with appropriate reverse primers AphSSUR or AphLSUR. The resulting sequence data was manually revised and edited, and the low-quality reads filtrated out from the alignments in Geneious version 8.0 (Kearse et al. 2012) and the primer sites were cut off from the sequences before the further analyses. The sequences were entered to NCBI GenBank database with access numbers MF973121-MF973149 for rnnS and MF975950-MF975978 for rnnL.

Two phylogenetic approximations, a Bayesian inference (BI) and a maximum likelihood (ML) analyses, and a distance method, a neighbor-joining distance based analyses (NJ), were employed to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships. The BI was performed in Mr Bayes v.3.2.6 software (Ronquist et al. 2012) using the MCMC method with 10,000,000 generations, three runs (8 chains per run) with a burn-in of 25% trees and a standard deviation of split frequencies <0.01. Nodes with posterior probability (pp) values ≥0.95 were considered supported. The ML was performed using RAxML v.8 (Stamatakis, 2014) implemented in raxmlGUI v1.5b1 (Silvestro and Michalak, 2012), with 100 independents replicates and 1000 rapid bootstraps. Nodes with bootstrap values ≥75 were considered supported. The NJ analysis for inferring the phylogeny was performed utilizing MEGA v6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013) using Kimura 2-parameter distances between the sequences and bootstrap values determined by 1000
replications. All the resulting trees from the BI, ML, and NJ were visualized on FigTree v1.4.2 (Rambaut, 2012). *A. frigidophilus* was used as an outgroup in all approximations. We preformed the analyses for the independent rnnS and rnnL, as well as for the concatenated rnnS and rnnL regions with the same parameters described above.

Genetic diversity was estimated calculating the number of polymorphic (segregating) sites (S), the number of haplotypes, the haplotype diversity (Hd), the average number of nucleotide differences (k), and the nucleotide diversity (π) utilizing the program DNAsp v.5.10.01 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). We used TCS v.1.21 (Clement *et al.* 2002) to represent the mutational changes between the sequences throughout the most parsimonious haplotype network and to visualize the genealogical relationships, we used PopArt v1.7.2 (Leigh and Bryant, 2015).

**Microsatellite genotyping**

To validate the results of the mitochondrial data with the methods currently in use and obtain grouping for the previously uncharacterized strains, microsatellite analyses of selected strains (Table 1) were conducted at the University of Koblenz-Landau (Germany) utilizing the nine co-dominant microsatellite markers according to Grandjean *et al.* (2014). The PCR reactions were carried out with Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen, the Netherlands) and 0.1 to 0.38 µM of each of the labeled primers Aast4, Aast6, Aast7, Aast14 for Batch A as well as Aast2 Aast9, Aast10, Aast12, Aast13 for Batch B were added. 1 µL DNA template was appended for final volumes of 5 µL and 5.5 µL, respectively. The fragment analyses were conducted on a Beckman Coulter CEQ 8000 eight capillary sequencer. Alleles were scored using the GenMarker software (version 1.95, SoftGenetics LLC) and compared to reference strains.

**Direct *A. astaci* haplotyping from infected crayfish cuticle samples**

Direct haplotyping from infected crayfish samples was conducted at the University of Koblenz-Landau (Germany). One sample was from an aquarium-held marbled crayfish, *Procambarus fallax* f. *virginalis*, already tested positively for crayfish plague infection by Keller *et al.* (2014). Moreover, *A. astaci* DNA was extracted from stone crayfish belonging to populations from three natural water bodies in Austria and Germany, which underwent mass mortalities at the time of sampling (Table 3).

DNA of *A. astaci* was extracted from infected crayfish tissue using a CTAB method and the crayfish plague agent levels of them were examined with qPCR according to Vrålstad *et al.* (2009). The PCR reaction mixture contained 0.4 µM of each primer (Table 2), 0.75X
DreamTaq Green master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.5 U DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.17 mM dNTPs, and 2.5 µl of the DNA template. The mixture was filled up to 12.5 µl with PCR-grade water. PCR was carried out on a Primus 96 Plus Thermal Cycler (PEQLAB Biotechnologies GmbH) with the following conditions: 95 °C, 3 min, 30x (95 °C, 30 s; 60 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 30 s), and 72 °C 10 min. Each run contained a positive control (A. astaci DNA) and a blank reaction without a template. The amplification was checked on an agarose gel with EtBr labelling. The PCR products were sequenced on a 3730 DNA Analyzer eight capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) by the company Seq IT GmbH & Co. KG (Kaiserslautern, Germany). The sequences were aligned and edited using the program Geneious R7 (Kearse et al. 2012) and then entered to NCBI GenBank database with the access numbers MF150010-MF150017. Microsatellite analyses of infected crayfish samples were conducted similarly as explained in chapter 2.5.

RESULTS

Primer specificity

The two primer pairs developed to amplify the mitochondrial ribosomal rnnS and rnnL subunits (Table 2, Fig. 1) produced amplicons with lengths of 512 bp and 435 bp (with primer regions included) from the tested A. astaci strains, respectively.

The primer pair AphSSU (Table 2, Fig. 1A), developed for the rnnS subunit, also amplified some other aquatic oomycetes, i.e., Saprolegnia sp. However, the species were later easily identified based on their nucleotide sequences, as the sequence alignments and BLAST comparisons of the 512 bp rnnS region showed the sequence diversity to be high enough to separate A. astaci from closely related species. The differences for rnnS were 16 nucleotides (97.0% similarity) to A. frigidophilus, and 35 nucleotides (93.0% similarity) to Saprolegnia sp. (see the details of the species in table 1). Furthermore, the BLAST search to NCBI GenBank showed 12 nucleotides (97.5% similarity) to A. invadans (KX405005) and a 93.3% similarity (32 nucleotides) to Saprolegnia ferax (AY534144).

The rnnL primers showed high species divergence at the primer regions (Fig. 1B). At the 435 bp rnnL region, the diversity was slightly more variable, showing 94.6% similarity (20 nucleotides) to A. frigidophilus, but up to 100% similarity was detected against Saprolegnia sp., although the similarity to another parasitic Saprolegnia having rnnL sequence available, S. ferax (AY534144), showed only 116 bp matching region with 99.0% similarity, this 116 bp
matching region was also observed for *A. invadans* (KX405005) with 97.4% similarity (3 nucleotides).

*Intraspecific diversity, phylogeny, and genetic diversity*

For the diversity estimations, a 475 bp and 391 bp fragments of rnnS and rnnL amplicons were included, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1). The two phylogenetic approximations and the inferred method used to reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships (BI, ML, and NJ, respectively) (Fig. 2) supported the differentiation of two lineages for each of the data sets used in this study (rnnS alignment, rnnL alignment; and the concatenated rnnS and rnnL alignment): first lineage includes the A, B, C, and E RAPD-PCR groups and a second lineage is formed by D RAPD-PCR group (Fig. 2).

According to the phylogenetic analysis of the rnnS alignment (Fig. 2a), the first lineage includes only two subgroups. The first subgroup includes the sequences similar to the strains that belong to the RAPD-PCR groups A, C, and E; and the second subgroup includes the sequences similar to the strains that belong to the RAPD-PCR group B. The second lineage includes the sequences similar to the strains that belong to the RAPD-PCR groups D (Fig. 2a). In contrast, the phylogenetic analysis of the rnnL alignment includes two subgroups for the first lineage. The first subgroup is composed by similar sequences to the strains from the RAPD-PCR groups A, B, and C; and the second subgroup by similar sequences to the strains from the RAPD-PCR groups E, although the RAPD-PCR group E is not supported by the phylogenetic analyses. The second lineage includes the similar sequences to the strains that belong to the RAPD-PCR groups D (Fig. 2b).

As a result of the concatenated rnnS and rnnL sequences, we found three defined haplogroups within these two main lineages, grouping similar strains of the concatenated sequences rnnS and rnnL (Fig. 2c). Lineage 1 includes three haplogroups (A-, B-, and E-haplogroup), each represented by different specific haplotypes. The A-haplogroup is formed by strains from RAPD-PCR groups A and C, including only one haplotype, *i.e.*, a-haplotype. The a-haplotype comprises sequences from RAPD-PCR groups A, *i.e.*, L1 and Upor4, and sequences from RAPD-PCR group C, *i.e.*, Kv1 (Fig. 2c). The B-haplogroup is formed by strains from RAPD group B, *i.e.*, Pl, EviraK047/99, SAP-Pamplona, which comprises the unique b-haplotype. The E-haplogroup is formed by strains from RAPD-PCR groups E, including one haplotype, *i.e.*, e-haplotype Li10 (Fig. 2c). Lineage 2 includes only one haplogroup (D-haplogroup), confirmed
by strains from the RAPD-PCR group D, *i.e.*, AP03 and SAP-Málaga5. This D-haplogroup has two haplotypes, *i.e.*, d1-haplotype (with the sequence SAP-Málaga5) and d2-haplotype (with the genome sequenced sequence AP03) (Fig. 2c).

The genetic diversity analysis confirmed and supported the phylogenetic analysis. We found differences between the separated mitochondrial ribosomal rnnS and rnnL subunits (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 1). The amplicons corresponding to the rnnS subunit only registered 2 segregating sites (S) leading to 3 different haplotypes (Fig. 3a). The haplotype diversity (Hd) was 0.598; with 0.744 average nucleotide differences (k) and a nucleotide diversity (π) of 0.0015. On the other hand, the amplicons corresponding to the rnnL subunit registered 8 segregating sites (S) leading to 4 different haplotypes (Fig. 3b). The haplotype diversity (Hd) was 0.297; with 1.415 average nucleotide differences (k) and a nucleotide diversity (π) of 0.004. However, the concatenated sequences rnnS and rnnL showed a total of 10 segregating sites (S; Supplementary figure 1), where 8 of them were parsimony informative, confirming the existence of a total of 5 haplotypes (Fig. 3c). The haplotype diversity (Hd) was 0.626; with 2.159 average nucleotide differences (k) and a nucleotide diversity (π) of 0.0025.

**Direct haplotyping of infected crayfish samples**

The specimens which showed the highest agent levels among the tested populations were selected for *A. astaci* haplotyping (Table 3). The qPCR agent levels of these successfully PCR amplified and sequenced samples varied between A5 and A7 (Table 3). The stone crayfish from the Schwarzbach (Germany) and the marbled crayfish sample (the Netherlands) were infected with the D-haplogroup of *A. astaci*. Here, the haplotype detected from the stone crayfish (GiSt5) was identical with the d1-haplotype strain SAP-Málaga, while the DNA detected from marbled crayfish (IvoOkt13) grouped together with the d2-haplotype strain AP03 (Fig. 2c). The remaining two samples from stone crayfish, *i.e.*, populations from a feeder of the Steyr River (AUT2_1) and the Schädlbach (StGM9) in Austria, belonged to the b-haplotype.

**DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we have described a mitochondrial PCR and sequencing based approach that allows identifying the genetic diversity of *A. astaci* in mix genome samples, *i.e.*, clinical and preserved samples. These mitochondrial markers are stable and reliable and the results are
easily repeatable in different laboratories with samples obtained from various crayfish host species revealing moderate to high infection levels.

The target regions rnnS and rnnL were selected based on the full mitochondrial genome of Spanish *A. astaci* strain AP03 (D-haplogroup) (Makkonen *et al.* 2016) and transcriptomics data of selected Finnish *A. astaci* strains representing the A- and B-haplogroups (Kokko *et al.* unpublished). The most commonly used mitochondrial barcoding gene (Hebert *et al.* 2003), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI), contained no single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to distinguish the different *A. astaci* strains and was therefore left out from further analyses and method development. Furthermore, a very low GC-content of the mtDNA, especially in the intergenic regions (Makkonen *et al.* 2016), and lacking data from intergenic regions limited the possible target regions for mtDNA haplotyping.

The specificity tests of the two primer pairs showed that the species level resolution of the mitochondrial ribosomal subunits (rnnS and rnnL) was high enough to separate closely related *Aphanomyces* species, *i.e.*, *A. invadans* and *A. frigidophilus*, from *A. astaci*. The rnnL region exhibited higher specificity, although the overall sequence diversity of the whole PCR amplicon was more variable. However, a single *Saprolegnia* sp. showed 100% sequence similarity with *A. astaci*. Therefore, sequencing the rnnL region alone for species differentiation cannot be recommended. The rnnS region also amplified other aquatic oomycetes, such as *A. hypogyna* and *Saprolegnia* sp., but the species could be later separated based on the sequence data.

The method functionality was also compared to the microsatellite method developed by Grandjean *et al.* (2014). We conducted parallel analyses for studied *A. astaci* strains (Table 1) and infected clinical samples from infected crayfish (Table 3), and they grouped similarly with both methods. In some cases, when the agent levels detected in qPCR (Vrålstad *et al.* 2009) were mid-A3 or higher, the mtDNA markers showed slightly more sensitive amplification in comparison to the microsatellite markers (data not shown). The difference in the amplification sensitivity was likely caused by different copy number of the mtDNA in comparison to the nuclear DNA analyzed with the microsatellite markers. In future, the application of DNA extraction methods favoring the recovery of circular (mitochondrial) DNA for crayfish tissues will likely further increase the usability of the mtDNA markers in comparison to microsatellites. On the other hand, the diversity of *A. astaci* observed using our mtDNA markers was lower in comparison to the microsatellite markers. Here, the mtDNA markers were highly stable overall, the interpretation of the results from sequencing data was objective.
Especially if new or unexpected species and strains will be detected, sequencing can be considered as the best choice to confirm the results in the aquatic environment with unknown microbial spectrum and diversity.

The phylogenetic and genetic diversity analyses of the two concatenated regions of the mitochondrial ribosomal subunits analyzed, i.e., rnnS and rnnL, based on reference strains of the groups (i.e., L1 for RAPD-PCR group A, Pl for RAPD-PCR group B, Kv1 for RAPD-PCR group C, AP03 for RAPD-PCR group D, and Li10 for RAPD-PCR group E) showed similar results to those obtained by previous studies (Huang et al. 1994; Dieguez-Uribeondo et al. 1995; Kozubiková et al. 2011; Grandjean et al. 2014; Rezinziuc et al. 2014). Thus, these analyses allowed the identification of two main lineages and four haplogroups. Three of these haplogroups (i.e., B-haplogroup, D-haplogroup, and E-haplogroup) corresponded to RAPD-PCR groups B, D, and E, and the fourth-one, i.e., A-haplogroup, comprised the strains from group RAPD-PCR A and C. Each haplogroup was characterized by having a single, unique haplotype, except for the D-haplogroup that possessed two haplotypes, i.e., d1 and d2. Therefore, the combination of both markers led us to clearly separate four haplogroups (A, B, D, and E) with five different haplotypes in them (a, b, d1, d2, and e).

The A-haplogroup and E-haplogroup were closely related haplogroups, although the haplotype network showed two SNPs between the groups of concatenated sequences. The number of isolates available from the E-haplogroup is currently limited (Kozubiková et al. 2011; Kozubiková-Balcarová et al. 2013). Moreover, the A-haplogroup comprise sequences identical to the strain of reference for RAPD groups A (L1 strain) and C (Kv1 strain). The haplotype network generated no differences between these groups. Although this fact can be important and should be taken into account in the investigations, only one strain of *A. astaci* belonging to RAPD-PCR group C has been isolated so far (Huang et al. 1994; Kenneth Söderhäll, personal communication). Therefore, the limitation of strains corresponding to the RAPD-PCR groups C and E (Kozubiková et al. 2011) could have hindered the estimation of the real diversity within these haplogroups. Alternatively, the limited number of variable sites in the genetic regions used here did not offer possibilities to discriminate these RAPD-PCR groups.

The *A. astaci* isolates for the A-haplogroup and E-haplogroup were obtained from several host species (Table 1) from Eastern and Northern USA, i.e., genera *Orconectes* and genus *Pacifastacus*. However, Eastern and Northern parts of USA have broad and variable crayfish species diversities (Holdich, 2002). The host diversity likely increases also the pathogen strain diversity and accelerates the development of new lineages, haplogroups, and haplotypes, as a
consequence of host-parasite coevolution (Jussila et al. 2015). Moreover, the translocation of species within North America occurs (Larson et al. 2012). For example, three *P. leniusculus* subspecies have been introduced in Lake Tahoe (John Umek, personal communication). The results observed here may be an indication of those crayfish translocations. Furthermore, it can be assumed that also mixed crayfish species populations have been created with this kind of translocations. Although no evidence of this has been shown so far, these translocations could have allowed the pathogen host jumps, making possible the exchange of genetic groups (e.g., Jussila et al. 2015). However, to investigate this possibility, further isolations from North American crayfish should be performed. In this kind of cases, using microsatellites would not be helpful, as distinguishing between a truly heterozygous locus or a combination of two different strains is impossible (Maguire et al. 2016). On the other hand, the mixture of pathogen strains might already be reality in Europe since several non-indigenous crayfish species, several members of the genus *Orconectes* as an example, have been introduced into Europe (Souty-Grosset et al. 2006), especially into Central European water bodies (Schrimpf et al. 2013; Panteleit et al. 2017). The oldest known *A. astaci* lineage in Europe, i.e., RAPD-PCR group A was the basis of the a-haplotype in this study. If the RAPD-PCR group A of *A. astaci*, with its so far unknown original crayfish host, first arrived in Europe in the ballast water of an intercontinental ship, as speculated by Alderman (1996), the East coast of North America was the likely origin for the transport. Therefore, our results seem to be in line with these speculations.

The B-haplogroup, commonly detected all over Europe due to signal crayfish *P. leniusculus* introductions, can be traced back to California (Souty-Grosset et al. 2006). Signal crayfish were first introduced to Europe from several Californian sources. Lake Tahoe is being mentioned as the main source of introductions in several papers (Abrahamsson, 1969, 1972; Westman, 1972, 2000; Goldman, 1972; Agerberg and Jansson, 1995). In addition, Lake Hennessey and Sacramento River were mentioned as sources of introduction in Finland (Westman, 2000) as well as Donner Lake, American River and Pitt Lake in Sweden (Agerberg and Jansson, 1995). Based on this study, either the signal crayfish from these locations were either carrying similar strains of *A. astaci*, or the stocking success of some of these populations was limited creating a founder effect (Agerberg and Jansson, 1995), as the all b-haplotype strains examined here were identical with the recent isolates from Lake Tahoe (Table 1). Homogeneity within B-haplogroup has also been previously detected in nuclear markers (Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. 2009; Makkonen et al. 2011, 2012a).
The D-haplogroup seems to be introduced to Southern Europe (Spain) by introductions of *P. clarkii* (Rezinciuc et al. 2014). However, only two strains were tested in this study. The two Spanish *A. astaci* strains, SAP-Málaga and AP03, split the D-haplogroup into two haplotypes, d1 and d2, respectively. Variation in this group was also observed with the microsatellite markers (unpublished data), where the first Pc-lineage has been typically connected to *P. clarkii* and the second one to marbled crayfish *P. fallax f. virginalis*, a parthenogenetic species commonly available in the aquarium trade (e.g., Scholtz et al. 2003; Panteleit et al. 2017). In this study, the D-haplogroup mtDNA markers, which were detected from an infected crayfish tissue (Table 3), were exhibiting a similar grouping as the strains AP03 and SAP-Málaga, showing that both haplotypes are present in Spain and Germany (Fig. 2).

The application of mitochondrial markers enables now the direct identification in clinical and preserved samples of the main *A. astaci* haplogroups responsible for crayfish plague outbreaks. The method provides an opportunity to characterize the diversity of *A. astaci* strains, facilitating investigations on disease history and its epidemiology. In this paper, we have described the technique’s possibilities for a direct identification of *A. astaci*, responsible of the crayfish plague, which is still discovered in novel regions causing devastating outbreaks (e.g., Peiró et al. 2016). We propose these mitochondrial *rnnS* and *rnnL* markers as an important tool to track and understand the *A. astaci* diversity and evolution among the original host species, *i.e.*, North American crayfish species, and in the future, it may help to define if the original distributional area of *A. astaci* groups is a reflection to its original host species distribution and North America.
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Table 1. The *Aphanomyces astaci* strains sequenced in this study. The strains considered as reference strains for each genotype based on RAPD and microsatellite results are bolded. na indicates no result available for RAPD genotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain code&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Isol. year</th>
<th>RAPD group&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Microsat. genotype&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mitoch. haplotype</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Lake Ammern, SE</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>A (As)</td>
<td>SSR-A&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Huang et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upor4</td>
<td>Úpořský brook, CZ</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-Up</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>A. torrentium</em></td>
<td>Grandjean et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_AT1D</td>
<td>River Borovniščica, SI</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-A&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>A. torrentium</em></td>
<td>Jussila et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_VEN5/14</td>
<td>Lake Venesjärvi, Karvia, FI</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-A&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_T2B</td>
<td>River Kemijoki, Taivalkoski, FI</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-A&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_OI-1 (3)</td>
<td>Oxbow lake of River Rhine, Speyer, DE</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-E</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>O. immunis</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kv1 (VI03558)</td>
<td>Lake Pitt, CAN (SE)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>C (PsII)</td>
<td>SSR-C</td>
<td>a</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Huang et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Lake Tahoe, USA</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Huang et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EviraK047/99</td>
<td>Lake Korpijärvi, Mäntyharju, FI</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Viljamaa-Dirks et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_8866-2</td>
<td>Lake Puujärvi, Karjaloja, FI</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_SATR (2)</td>
<td>Lake Saimaa, FI</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Jussila et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_KTY3-4</td>
<td>Fish Research Unit, Kuopio, FI</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_T16 (3)</td>
<td>Lake Tahoe, CA, USA</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_7203 (3)</td>
<td>Lake Kukkia, Luopioinen, FI</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEF_8140 (2)</td>
<td>Lake Pyhäjärvi, Säkylä, FI</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>P. leniusculus</em></td>
<td>Makkonen et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP-Pamplona</td>
<td>Pamplona, ES</td>
<td></td>
<td>B (PsI)</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td><em>A. pallipes</em></td>
<td>Martin-Torrijos et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP03</td>
<td>Cataluña, ES</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>D (Pc)</td>
<td>SSR-D</td>
<td>d1</td>
<td><em>P. clarkii</em></td>
<td>Rezinciuc et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP-Málaga5</td>
<td>Malaga, ES</td>
<td></td>
<td>D (Pc)</td>
<td>SSR-D</td>
<td>d2</td>
<td><em>P. clarkii</em></td>
<td>Martin-Torrijos et al. (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li10</td>
<td>Litavka River, CZ</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>E (Or)</td>
<td>SSR-E</td>
<td>e</td>
<td><em>A. astacus</em></td>
<td>Kozubíková-Balcarova et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T16-JR26A</td>
<td>Saprolegnia sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP817</td>
<td><em>Aphanomyces frigidophilus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> With *n* of isolates sequenced from same location given in brackets.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated in Sweden from signal crayfish which originated from Pitt Lake, Canada.

<sup>c</sup>RAPD-genotypes published in Huang et al. (1994), Diéguez-Uribeondo et al. (1995), and Viljamaa-Dirks et al. (2013).

<sup>d</sup>Microsatellite genotypes published by Grandjean et al. (2014).
Table 2. The primers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Sequence 5’-3’</th>
<th>Start pos.</th>
<th>Stop pos.</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>%GC</th>
<th>Tm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AphSSUF</td>
<td>AGCACTCCGCTGAAGAGTA</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AphSSUR</td>
<td>GGGCGGTGTGTACAAAGTCT</td>
<td>1 318</td>
<td>1 337</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AphLSUF</td>
<td>AGGCGAAAGCTTACTATGATGG</td>
<td>2 849</td>
<td>2 870</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AphLSUR</td>
<td>CCAATTCTGTGCCACCTTCT</td>
<td>3 284</td>
<td>3 303</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 3. Samples sequenced directly from crayfish tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crayfish (n)</th>
<th>Agent level&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Microsat. genotype&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Mitoch. haplotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUT2_1</td>
<td><em>A. torrentium</em></td>
<td>Feeder of the Steyr Fluss, Austria</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StGM9</td>
<td><em>A. torrentium</em></td>
<td>Schädlbach, Austria</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>SSR-B</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IvoOkt13</td>
<td><em>P. fallax f. virginalis</em></td>
<td>Aquarium-held crayfish, the Netherlands</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>SSR-D</td>
<td>d1</td>
<td>Keller et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GiSt5</td>
<td><em>A. torrentium</em></td>
<td>Schwarzbach, Germany</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>A7</td>
<td>SSR-D</td>
<td>d2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>The highest agent level (according to Vrålstad et al. (2009)) detected among population, from which the haplotyping was conducted.

<sup>b</sup>Microsatellite genotypes identified using the method published by Grandjean et al. (2014).
**Figure 1.** Primer regions aligned with other available oomycetes. A) rnnS region and primers AphSSUF and AphSSUR. B) rnnL-region and primers AphLSUF and AphLSUR.

**Figure 2.** Bayesian inference analyses based on rnnS, rnnL, and concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. a. Bayesian inference analysis was based on rnnS mtDNA sequences. b. Bayesian inference analysis was based on rnnL mtDNA sequences. c. Bayesian inference analysis was based on concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. Values above the branches represent the posterior probabilities (>0.95) form Bayesian inference, and bootstrap support (> 75) from Maximum Likelihood and Neighbour Joining analyses. Scales bar for phylogenetic analysis indicates substitutions per site. The original strains used as references and identified in previous studies by RAPD-PCR technique appear in bold and with a star key (*), correspond to group A: L1*, group B: Pl*, group C: Kv1*, group D: AP03*, group E: Li10*. Ht indicates haplotypes, Hp indicates haplogroups and L indicates lineages.

**Figure 3.** Haplotype network based on rnnS, rnnL, and concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences, generated by statistical parsimony. The area of the circles is proportional to the sequences number. a. Haplotype network based on rnnS mtDNA sequences. b. Haplotype network based on rnnL mtDNA sequences. c. Haplotype network based on concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. Mutation steps between haplotypes are shown as hatch marks.
Bayesian inference analyses based on rnnS, rnnL, and concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. a. Bayesian inference analysis was based on rnnS mtDNA sequences. b. Bayesian inference analysis was based on rnnL mtDNA sequences. c. Bayesian inference analysis was based on concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. Values above the branches represent the posterior probabilities (>0.95) from Bayesian inference, and bootstrap support (>75) from Maximum Likelihood and Neighbour Joining analyses. Scales bar for phylogenetic analysis indicates substitutions per site. The original strains used as references and identified in previous studies by RAPD-PCR technique appear in bold and with a star key (*), correspond to group A: L1*, group B: Pl*, group C: Kv1*, group D: AP03*, group E: Li10*. Ht indicates haplotypes, Hp indicates haplogroups and L indicates lineages.
Haplotype network based on rnnS, rnnL, and concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences, generated by statistical parsimony. The area of the circles is proportional to the sequences number. a. Haplotype network based on rnnS mtDNA sequences. b. Haplotype network based on rnnL mtDNA sequences. c. Haplotype network based on concatenated rnnS + rnnL mtDNA sequences. Mutation steps between haplotypes are shown as hatch marks.
**Supplementary Figure 1.** The multiple alignment of the rns (1-475 bp) and rnl (476-867 bp) regions.
Supplementary Table 1. Haplotypes found in *Aphanomyces astaci* sequences for the mitochondrial ribosomal rnnS and rnnL subunits. The first line shows the relative position of rnnS and rnnL subunits and the second line the SNPs found in the concatenated sequence (rnnS + rnnL) of 866 pb. Columns 2 and 3 refer to the SNP position in the 512 pb sequence analysed for the rnnS mtDNA subunit. Columns 4-11 refer to the SNP position in the 355 pb sequence analysed for the rnnL mtDNA subunit. Bold letters indicate the transitions and the transversions at each relative position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype</th>
<th>148</th>
<th>367</th>
<th>546</th>
<th>570</th>
<th>582</th>
<th>652</th>
<th>661</th>
<th>663</th>
<th>691</th>
<th>841</th>
<th>849</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d1</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>