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Cyanobacteria are important photosynthetic organisms inhabiting a range of dynamic environments. This phyllum is distinctive among photosynthetic organisms in containing genes encoding uncharacterized cystathionine β-synthase (CBS)-chloroplast protein (CP12) fusion proteins. These consist of two domains, each recognized as stand-alone photosynthetic regulators with different functions described in cyanobacteria (CP12) and plants (CP12 and CBSX). Here we show that CBS–CP12 fusion proteins are encoded in distinct gene neighborhoods, several unrelated to photosynthesis. Most frequently, CBS–CP12 genes are in a gene cluster with thioredoxin A (TrxA), which is prevalent in bloom-forming, marine symbiotic, and benthic mat cyanobacteria. Focusing on a CBS–CP12 from *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806 encoded in a gene cluster with TrxA, we reveal that the domain fusion led to the formation of a hexameric protein. We show that the CP12 domain is essential for hexamerization and contains an ordered, previously structurally uncharacterized N-terminal region. We provide evidence that CBS–CP12, while combining properties of both regulatory domains, behaves different from CP12 and plant CBSX. It does not form a ternary complex with phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), by forming a ternary GAPDH–CP12–PRK complex (17–19). The activation of both enzymes, and consequently CO₂ fixation, is mediated by reduction via Trx (13), causing the dissociation of the ternary GAPDH–CP12–PRK complex. Structural information on CP12 is scarce due to its intrinsic disorder. Only about 20 residues of the C-terminal part of CP12 have been structurally characterized in a complex with GAPDH, indicating the disordered state of the N-terminal region.
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Cystathionine β-synthase (CBS) domains are widespread structural domains, conserved either as single proteins (1, 2) or as domains in a wide range of functionally different cystolic (3–5) and membrane proteins (6–8). The importance of CBS domains is reflected by the range of different hereditary diseases in humans caused by mutation in their sequence, including homocystinuria and retinitis pigmentosa (9, 10). Each domain folds as an antiparallel β-sheet flanked by helices on one side and contains the secondary structural elements α0-β1-α1-β2-β3-α2 (11). They always occur in pairs, forming a so-called CBS pair or Bateman module (11, 12). All structurally characterized CBS pairs, with the exception of a single ancestral representative, assemble in homodimers containing four CBS domains, oriented in a head-to-head or head-to-tail manner (11), such as CBSX1 and CBSX2, stand-alone CBS domain proteins of plants (1, 2). CBS domains are important sensors of cellular energy status, often binding adenine nucleotides as regulatory ligands (11). Plant CBSX proteins, for instance, are important partners in the thioredoxin (Trx)-mediated redox regulation of protein activity (1). Targets of Trx-regulated processes in photosynthetic organisms include proteins related to photosynthesis and oxidative stress response (13–15). By increasing the activity of all chloroplastic Trx, plant CBSX1 enhances carbon dioxide (CO₂) fixation and carbohydrate synthesis, thus affecting plant development and stabilizing cellular redox homeostasis (1).

A bioinformatic analysis of 126 cyanobacterial genomes revealed the existence of CBS domain-containing proteins C-terminally fused to the small protein CP12 (chloroplast protein) (16). While these CBS–CP12 fusion proteins are to date only found in cyanobacteria, stand-alone CP12 proteins are conserved in all oxygenic photosynthetic organisms, namely plants, algae, and cyanobacteria (17–21). CP12 is an intrinsically disordered, regulatory protein of about 80 residues. It is characterized by the AWD_VEEL core sequence (22) and two N-terminal and C-terminal redox-sensitive cysteine pairs. Under oxidizing conditions, the cysteine pairs form disulfide bridges structuring two polypeptide loops required for the inactivation of two enzymes of the CO₂ fixation pathway (Calvin cycle), phosphoribulokinase (PRK) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), by forming a ternary GAPDH–CP12–PRK complex (17–19). The activation of both enzymes, and consequently CO₂ fixation, is mediated by reduction via Trx (13), causing the dissociation of the ternary GAPDH–CP12–PRK complex. Structural information on CP12 is scarce due to its intrinsic disorder. Only about 20 residues of the C-terminal part of CP12 have been structurally characterized in a complex with GAPDH, indicating the disordered state of the N-terminal region.

**Significance**

Carbon fixation is arguably one of the most important metabolic processes on Earth. Stand-alone CP12 proteins are major players in the regulation of this pathway in all oxygenic photosynthetic organisms, yet their intrinsic disorder has so far hampered the capturing of a principal part of their structure. Here we provide structural insights into CP12 by investigating an uncharacterized CBS–CP12 fusion protein, CBS–CP12, which is widespread among cyanobacteria, and reveal a unique hexameric structure. Our data further extend the existing knowledge of the regulation of photosynthesis and carbon fixation by the CP12 protein family, suggesting a more versatile role of this protein family in global redox regulation, predominantly in bloom-forming cyanobacteria that pose major threats in lakes and reservoirs.
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even in the presence of its binding partner under oxidizing conditions (23, 24).

In contrast to CP12, the function of cyanobacterial CBS–CP12 fusion proteins is unknown. They typically occur in addition to a stand-alone CP12 and are divided into three variants according to their number of cysteine pairs in the CP12 domain (two, one, or none) (16). The CBS pairs present in these variants share a high degree of sequence similarity (16). It was hypothesized that the fusion of CP12 and CBS domains in cyanobacteria functionally connects them, implying another layer of complexity in the regulatory roles of both CP12 and CBS domains (16).

Here we report the structural and functional characteristics of a CBS–CP12 variant from the bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC 7806 (hereafter *M. aeruginosa*). We show that CBS–CP12 fusion proteins are widespread in cyanobacteria and particularly associated with a thioredoxin gene in bloom-forming species. The crystal structure of CBS–CP12 includes a complete structure of the N-terminal part of CP12 and reveals that CBS–CP12 forms a hexamer, unlike any other characterized CBS domain protein. We further show co-regulated light-induced gene expression of CBS–CP12 with TrxA and an AMP-dependent inhibition of PRK activity by CBS–CP12 that counteracts the regulation by TrxA.

**Results**

The CBS–CP12 Protein Family Is Widespread in Cyanobacteria. A reassessment of the occurrence of CBS–CP12 fusion proteins in 333 cyanobacterial genomes revealed the presence of five CBS–CP12 variants, the three previously described (16) and two variants with a shorter CP12 domain, CBS–CP12-N-tr and CBS–CP12-tr (Fig. L4). The phylogenetic survey detected 232 single CBS–CP12 proteins and demonstrated their widespread distribution in all five morphological subsections of the cyanobacterial phylum, with the exception of the entire phylogenetic subclade C1 and the model strain *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). Truncated CBS–CP12 variants occur in the majority of strains along with the full-length variants. As previously shown (16), all CBS–CP12 variants coexist with one or more CP12 genes.

A gene neighborhood survey revealed an unexpected variety of gene clusters encoding a CBS–CP12 variant, including the hox-type hydrogenase and a nitrogen fixation (nif) gene cluster in *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 and a pyruvate kinase in *Rivularia* sp. PCC 7116 (Fig. 1B). Most commonly, CBS–CP12 genes were observed in the immediate vicinity of thioredoxin A-encoding genes (Fig. 1C). These joint CBS–CP12/TrxA gene clusters were predominantly detected in genomes of bloom-forming strains, but also in benthic mat-forming and marine symbiotic cyanobacteria. Some of these gene clusters additionally encode the electron transfer protein ferredoxin and adenylate kinase, an enzyme important for cellular energy homeostasis. In all cases, a CBS protein with four CBS domains is encoded upstream of the respective gene cluster (Fig. 1B). The different CBS–CP12/TrxA gene cluster types are distributed over four cyanobacterial subclades from unicellular strains (e.g., *Microcystis* and *Acaryochloris* strains) to multicellular strains capable of forming heterocysts for nitrogen fixation (e.g., *Anabaena* and *Aphanizomenon* strains).

**CBS–CP12 Forms a Hexamer.** The CBS–CP12 protein (IPF_2164) of the CBS–CP12/TrxA gene cluster of *M. aeruginosa* (Fig. 1) was selected as representative of the unique fusion protein family for structural analysis. It consists of 205 residues, of which about 130 N-terminal residues form a CBS pair and about 70 C-terminal residues belong to the CP12 domain, as deduced from the sequence (Fig. 2A). CBS–CP12 was expressed in *Escherichia coli* as a Trx fusion protein (*SI Appendix*, Table S1). Three X-ray diffraction datasets were collected: a native and an ytterbium derivative in an orthorhombic space group with three monomers in the asymmetric unit to a resolution of 2.1 and 2.8 Å, respectively, and a native in a hexagonal space group with one monomer in the asymmetric unit to 2.5 Å (*SI Appendix*, Table S2).

The CBS pair consists of two CBS domains, each showing a tertiary structure involving the N-terminal residues 70 of the first CBS domain and residues 90 of the second CBS domain. The purified hexamer forms two CBS pairs; both are connected by three disulfide bridges (Fig. 2B and Table S2). Both hexamers are connected by an intramolecular disulfide bridge between residues Cys153 and Cys163 (Fig. 2C).

Remarkably, the biological assembly of CBS–CP12 is a hexamer, composed of the monomers of the asymmetric unit and the symmetry equivalent (Fig. 2D and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). The
six subunits form a cylinder-shaped protein. The central ring is composed of the CP12 pairs, while the CP12 domains protrude from the perimeter of the ring (Fig. 2D). There are differences in the N-terminal regions of the CP12 domain in the three structures, the α-helices being tilted at about 40 to 50° toward the CBS pair (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), reflecting the intrinsic plasticity of the CP12 domain and also stabilizing effects by lattice interaction in the different crystal forms.

The hexameric assembly of CBS–CP12 is based on the establishment of two different interaction interfaces (Fig. 2E–J). One interface is similar to the known CBS:CBS interface and based exclusively on interactions between residues of the CBS pairs of two opposite subunits, leading to a head-to-tail assembly (Fig. 2E). As calculated by PISA (25), it is formed by the four α-helices and comprised mainly of hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2F and G), similar to plant CBSX1 and CBSX2 (1, 2). In contrast, the second interface is formed between the CBS pairs and the CP12 domains of adjacent subunits, showing a prominent shape complementarity (Fig. 2H). The area of this interface is smaller compared with the CBS:CBS interface but involves several salt bridges, predominantly formed between the first α-helix (α5) of the CP12 domain and the opposite CBS pair (Fig. 2I and J). Despite the different tilt of the CP12 domain toward the CBS pair between both native structures (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), an almost identical set of salt bridges is established (Fig. 2I and J). Even though the three CBS–CP12 variants featured in the various CBS–CP12/TrxA gene cluster types vary in length (Fig. 1), 11 of the 16 identified residues involved in the CBS–CP12:CBS–CP12 interface are conserved (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

To study the role of the CP12 domain in the oligomerization of CBS–CP12 in more detail, we constructed two proteins truncating either the CBS pair (CP12del, residues 134 to 208) or the CP12 domain (CBSdel, residues 1 to 133) (SI Appendix, Table S1) and used analytical size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) to determine their oligomeric status. As expected, CBS–CP12 eluted as a hexameric protein, while CP12del and CBSdel did not form oligomers larger than dimers (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). Additional verification of the oligomerization of CBS–CP12 was obtained by native mass spectrometry (MS). CBS–CP12 appeared with an average mass of 138.9 kDa, corresponding to a hexamer, and no other oligomeric forms were detected (SI Appendix, Fig. S4F).

Fig. 2. Structure and interfaces of hexameric CBS–CP12. (A) Domain organization of CBS–CP12 (IPF_2164) of M. aeruginosa. The dotted box indicates the boundaries of the protein visible in the native crystal structure. The numbering refers to the heterologously expressed protein (SI Appendix, Table S1). (B) Cartoon representation of the monomeric subunit, as obtained from the native orthorhombic crystal structure. The helices in the CBS domain are colored yellow-green (linkers L1 and L2) and green, and the sheets are colored pink. The helices in the CP12 domain are colored blue. The secondary structural elements are sequentially labeled. (C) Cartoon representation of the CP12 domain highlighting the two cysteine residues C153 and C163 and the AWD_VEEL core sequence, as obtained from the native orthorhombic crystal structure. The N and C termini, as visible in the structure, are labeled Nt and Ct, respectively. (D) Cartoon representation of hexameric CBS–CP12 in two different orientations related by a 90° rotation around a vertical axis. The canonical dimers are colored pink, blue, and green, respectively. The respective CP12 domains are colored light pink, light blue, and yellow-green, respectively. (E) Canonical CBS: CBS interface formed by residues in the CBS pairs only. (F and G) Close-up view of the CBS:CBS interface in (E) the native orthorhombic structure showing five hydrogen bonds (gray dashed lines) and (G) the native hexagonal structure showing four hydrogen bonds and two salt bridges (black dashed lines). (H) CBS–CP12: CBS–CP12 interface formed between the CBS pairs and adjacent CP12 domain. (I and J) Close-up view of the CBS–CP12: CBS–CP12 interface in (H) the native orthorhombic structure showing five salt bridges and five hydrogen bonds, formed between the first α-helix of the CP12 domain (α5) and the opposite CBS pair and (J) the native hexagonal structure showing four hydrogen bonds and two salt bridges. Hydrogen bonds (gray dashed lines), salt bridges (black dashed lines), and area are stated as calculated by PISA (25). Numbering of residues refers to the heterologously expressed protein (SI Appendix, Table S1).
CBS–CP12 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). Native MS revealed that in the presence of AMP the vast majority of CBS–CP12 appeared as a hexamer and only a small amount as a dimer, with a mass indicating the saturated binding of four ligands (SI Appendix, Fig. S4G).

The CP12 domain CP12del did not show any interaction with AMP, while CBSdel was clearly able to bind AMP but had only one binding site (Fig. 3 B and C). CBSdel binds AMP in an exothermic reaction, similar to the reaction observed at site 2 of CBS–CP12. The binding affinity of CBSdel for AMP is about seven times lower compared with site 2, and 32 times lower for site 1 of CBS–CP12 (SI Appendix, Table S3). Contrary to CBS–CP12 only one AMP is bound per CBSdel monomer, indicating that two CBS domains form one AMP-binding site, as shown for CBSX2 (26).

**CBS–CP12 Does Not Replace Canonical CP12.** Using analytical SEC and CP12del as a surrogate for canonical CP12, we investigated the ability of CBS–CP12 to bind recombinant GAPDH (IPF-4508) and PRK (IPF-5236) of *M. aeruginosa* (SI Appendix, Table S1). The elution peaks of both enzymes overlap with CBS–CP12 but are separated from CP12del (Fig. 3 D and E). Under oxidizing conditions and the presence of NAD, the incubation of CP12del with GAPDH and PRK led to the emergence of a peak with an estimated mass of 569 kDa (Fig. 3F). Denaturing SDS/PAGE confirmed that the majority of GAPDH and PRK formed a ternary complex with CP12del (SI Appendix, Fig. S4K). Under the same conditions, and also with AMP, CBS–CP12 coeluted with GAPDH and PRK but did not form a ternary complex (Fig. 3G and SI Appendix, Fig. S4K). There was also no evidence from pull-down experiments for complex formation of CBS–CP12 with any other protein of *M. aeruginosa* protein extract (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–C) and TrxA under different conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 D–I).

**cbs–cp12 and trxA Are Coexpressed and CBS–CP12 Regulates PRK Activity in Tandem with AMP.** Using RT-PCR experiments, the expression of CBS–CP12 and TrxA genes in comparison with the canonical CP12 gene (IPF_0141) was analyzed in a 12/12-h day/night cycle (Fig. 3H and SI Appendix, Table S4). In agreement with previous data (27), canonical CP12 does not show strong variations in its gene expression. In contrast, the gene expression of CBS–CP12 and TrxA follows the same circadian rhythm and shows a clear light-induced expression, reaching their maximum early in the morning (Fig. 3H). Their gene expression is furthermore strongly induced under high-light stress and slightly repressed under iron-limiting conditions (SI Appendix, Fig. S4L).

It has been demonstrated that plant CBSX1 regulates the activity of a Calvin cycle enzyme through Trx (1). We used the Calvin cycle enzyme and Trx target PRK to examine the effect of CBS–CP12 and AMP on its activity as well as its regulation through TrxA (Fig. 3 I–K). TrxA increased PRK activity by 46% (Fig. 3I), as described previously (28). While AMP and CBS–CP12 alone did not affect this TrxA stimulation of PRK, when combined they almost completely abolished the stimulation of PRK activity by TrxA (Fig. 3I). This negative effect is also observed without TrxA, and depends strongly on the concentration of CBS–CP12 and AMP. Alone, AMP had only negligible effects on PRK (SI Appendix, Fig. S4M), while higher CBS–CP12 concentrations slightly reduced PRK activity (Fig. 3J). Together, however, increasing concentrations of CBS–CP12 and AMP gradually and significantly diminished PRK activity up to 65%.

---

**Fig. 3.** Biochemical properties and gene expression of CBS–CP12. (A–C) ITC titration of (A) 400 μM AMP into 20 μM CBS–CP12, (B) 800 μM AMP into 100 μM CP12del, and (C) 700 μM AMP into 70 μM CBSdel. The black lines (Bottom) are the best fit to a two-site model (A) or one-site model (C). Thermodynamic data of three technical replicates are provided in SI Appendix, Table S3. (D and E) Individual 5200 SEC elution profiles of (D) CP12del, GAPDH, and PRK and (E) CBS–CP12, PRK, and GAPDH. CP12del elutes at 22.5 ± 0.5 kDa, CBS–CP12 as a hexamer at 143.5 ± 13.6 kDa, PRK at 99.2 ± 7.5 kDa (theoretical monomer size 37 kDa), and GAPDH at 139.2 ± 3.7 kDa (theoretical monomer size 37 kDa) (mean ± SD, n ≥ 2). (F) SEC elution profile of an equimolar mixture (30 μM, subunit base) of GAPDH, PRK, and CP12del with 25 mM DTTox and 0.5 mM NAD. The ternary GAPDH–CP12–PRK complex elutes at ~569 kDa (peak A). Peak B contains GAPDH and PRK not bound by CP12 (n = 1). (G) SEC elution profile of an equimolar mixture (30 μM, subunit base) of GAPDH, PRK, and CBS–CP12 with 25 mM DTTox, 0.5 mM NAD, ±0.5 mM AMP. GAPDH, PRK, and CBS–CP12 coelute in fraction C–E. (n = 1). (F and G) The denaturing SDS-PAGE of peak A and B and fractions C–E is provided in SI Appendix, Fig. S4K. (H) Diurnal rhythm of the relative transcription of cbs–cp12, trxA, and cp12 in *M. aeruginosa* (mean ± SD, n = 3). The experiment was repeated twice with consistent results (SI Appendix, Table S4). (I) Relative activity of 300 nM PRK upon addition of 600 nM TrxA, 2 mM AMP, and 300 nM CBS–CP12. (J) Relative activity of 300 nM PRK upon addition of different concentrations of CBS–CP12 and AMP. (K) Relative activity of 300 nM PRK upon addition of 600 nM TrxA, 2 mM AMP, 300 nM CP12del, and 300 nM CBSdel. Data in I–K are mean ± SD, n ≥ 5; *P ≤ 0.01 compared with 300 nM PRK, **P ≤ 0.01 compared with 300 nM PRK + 600 nM TrxA, Student’s t test.
cyano bacteria is supported by a recent proteomic study of M. aeruginosa showing an increased accumulation of CBS–CP12 in the redox-sensitive, microcystin-deficient mutant anecyl (38).

The hexamerization of CBS–CP12 is based on genetic fusion of a CBS pair with a CP12 protein (Fig. 1), a process described earlier to lead to homooligomeric proteins (39). As shown for several disordered proteins and domains (40, 41), the CP12 domain is essential for hexamerization (Fig. 2). Individually, but also when incubated together, CP12del and CBSdel do not form oligomers larger than dimers (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A–C). It should be noted that the CBS–CP12 hexamer is otherwise stable under all conditions tested, that is, native, reduced, with AMP, GAPDH, and PRK, or TrxA (Fig. 3 E and G and SI Appendix, Fig. S4 D, E, and G). The directed nature of CP12 is reflected by the -helix 5, of which residues are not visible in either crystal structure (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), while the involvement of the CP12 domain in the CBS–CP12/CBS–CP12 interface (Fig. 2) has stabilized the N-terminal part and enabled its structural characterization. This region of stand-alone CP12 proteins is highly flexible and has eluded all previous attempts to solve its structure using X-ray crystallography (23, 24). Interestingly, a recent study showed that the N-terminal region of CP12 of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii oscillates between a disordered state and a folded, helical structure in its free, oxidized form (42). When the C-terminal region of the α-helix 6 of CP12 is bound to AMP, an N-terminal region assumes an extended conformation (42), contrary to plant CP12, where the binding of GAPDH leads to a compaction of the N-terminal region (43). All of these examples illustrate the conformational flexibility within the extended family of intrinsically disordered CP12 proteins of different organisms, either in their free form or caused by the interaction with their respective inter- or intramolecular interaction partner.

We show that the N-terminal part of CP12 forms two long α-helices, as proposed (44), which are connected by a disulfide bridge (Fig. 2C). The AWD_VEEL core sequence, located in α-helix 5, of the CP12 domain is, however, essential for hexamerization (Fig. 2 H–J). The residues of the CP12 domain responsible for hexamer formation are located in α-helix 5, and are, together with their counterparts in the CBS pair, conserved in some CBS–CP12 variants of strains harboring a CBS–CP12/TrxA gene cluster (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), suggesting that oligomerization may be a common feature of these proteins. Further experiments are required to reveal if CBS–CP12 proteins present in other gene clusters (Fig. 1B) also form high-order oligomers.

As with most high-order assemblies, the CBS–CP12 hexamer probably derived from dimeric proteins, as indicated by the smaller buried surface area and binding energy of the unique CBS–CP12/CBS–CP12 interface compared with the conserved CBS/CBS interface (Fig. 2 E and H) (45, 46). The fusion of CBS with CP12 and hexamerization of CBS–CP12 may be explained by the need to create a protein with a new function (39, 47) while retaining the properties of the individual domains: (i) CBS–CP12 does not substitute CP12 in the formation of the ternary complex with GAPDH and PRK (Fig. 3 D–G). (ii) The CP12 domain is as essential for hexamerization of CBS–CP12 (Fig. 2) as CP12 is for ternary complex formation. (iii) CBS–CP12 binds AMP, similar to CBS domain proteins (1, 11), but has an additional binding site. The deletion of the CP12 domain affects the number of binding sites in CBSdel and also the binding affinity for AMP (Fig. 3 A–C and SI Appendix, Table S3). This suggests that the CP12 domain is involved in AMP binding. (iv) CBS–CP12 inhibits PRK activity in an AMP-dependent manner, unlike CP12del (Fig. 3 I–K). The CP12 domain is, however, essential for the transfer of the AMP signal to the target protein, as CBSdel alone does not have any effect on PRK activity (Fig. 3K).

Based on our results, we propose that cyano bacterial CP12 proteins are not exclusively dedicated to the interaction with...
GAPDH and PRK, and that CBS–CP12 fusion proteins perform additional functions. Given the widespread distribution among cyanobacteria (16), clarifying the role of CBS–CP12 proteins encoded in other gene clusters and the involvement of the other proteins associated to the various CBS–CP12/TrxA gene cluster types (Fig. 1) will be of interest. Understanding the principles of the regulation of metabolic processes can aid in the management of harmful blooms, as caused by *M. aeruginosa*, and provide insights for metabolic engineering of photosynthesis as well as hydrogen production and nitrogen fixation in cyanobacteria.

**Materials and Methods**

Cloning, expression in *E. coli*, and purification of proteins using affinity and size-exclusion chromatography, crystallization and structure determination of CBS–CP12, ITC, analytical SEC, native MS, quantitative RT-PCR, PRK and pull-down assays, and bioinformatic studies are described in *SI Appendix, Materials and Methods*.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** C.H. thanks H. C. P. Matthijs, D. Passon, and V. Pogenberg for their helpful discussions, input, and advice. The help of S. Staak and A. Weiz is highly appreciated. C.H. also thanks the EMBL for financial and infrastructure support. C.A.K. and F.C. are supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Contract DE-FG02-91ER20021 and the National Science Foundation (IOS 1557324). E.D. is supported by German Research Foundation (DFG) Contract DI810/10-1. C.E. acknowledges the support of the Erasmus scholarship and the EMBL. The FT-ICR MS facility is supported by Biocenter Finland/Biocenter Kuopio and the European Union Joint Research Project (Grant A70135). J.J. acknowledges support from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement 731077). The crystallographic data were collected at synchrotron beamline P13 operated by the EMBL at the PETRA III storage ring (DESY).